Diagnostic accuracy of apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) in differentiating low- and high-grade gliomas, taking histopathology as the gold standard

Raheel Khan, Atiq-ur-Rehman Selehria, Hafsa Aquil, Atif Sheraz, Sara Khan, Najwa Zahoor and Anashia Kayani*

Armed Forces Institute of Radiology and Imaging, Islamabad, Pakistan

Abstract

Gliomas are known to be one of the most grievous malignant central nervous system (CNS) tumors and have a high mortality rate with a low survival rate severe disability and increase risk of recurrence. Aim of his study is to determine the diagnostic accuracy of apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) in differentiating low-grade and high-grade gliomas, taking histopathology as the gold standard. It is a Cross-sectional validation study conducted at the Armed Forces Institute of Radiology and Imaging, (AFIRI) Rawalpindi, Pakistan from 28th February 2022 to 27th August 2022.

Materials and methods: A total of 215 patients with focal brain lesions of age 25-65 years of either gender were included. Patients with a cardiac pacemaker, breastfeeding females, demyelinating lesions and malignant infiltrates, and renal failure were excluded. Then diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging was performed on each patient by using a 1.5 Tesla MR system. The area of greatest diffusion restriction (lowest ADC) within the solid tumor component was identified while avoiding areas of peritumoral edema. Results of ADC were interpreted by a consultant radiologist (at least 5 years of post-fellowship experience) for high or low-grade glioma. After this, each patient has undergone a biopsy in the concerned ward, and histopathology results were compared with ADC findings.

Results: Overall sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, and diagnostic accuracy of apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) in differentiating low- and high-grade gliomas, taking histopathology as the gold standard was 93.65%, 87.64%, 91.47%, 90.70% and 91.16% respectively.

Conclusion: This study concluded that apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) is the non-invasive modality of choice with high diagnostic accuracy in differentiating low- and high-grade gliomas.

Introduction

Gliomas are known to be one of the most grievous malignant central nervous system (CNS) tumors and have a high mortality rate with a low survival rate severe disability and increase risk of recurrence [1]. An annual incidence rate of glioma in the United States is about 5 in 100,000 population and represents 4.9% of all types of cancer cases [2]. Glioma refers to tumors that have a histological feature of glial cells (including oligodendrocytes, astrocytes, and ependymal cells). According to the WHO classification, they are divided into four grades based on neoangiogenesis, nuclear pleomorphism, degree of mass effect and perifocal edema and cellularity. Table AA shows the grades of glioma based on histological subtypes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WHO grade</th>
<th>Histology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade I</td>
<td>Pilocytic astrocytoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade II</td>
<td>Diffuse astrocytoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade III</td>
<td>Anaplastic astrocytoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade IV</td>
<td>Glioblastoma Multiform</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The most aggressive grade is glioblastoma multiform
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(grade IV), which accounts for 47% of malignant CNS tumors, and its prognosis is the worst among all cancers with five years survival rate of merely 5.5% [3].

The exact pathogenesis of gliomas is idiopathic. Hereditary genetic disorders such as neurofibromatosis (type 1 and type 2) and tuberous sclerosis complex are known to predispose to their development [4,5]. Different oncogenes can cooperate in the development of gliomas [6]. Gliomas have been correlated to the electromagnetic radiation from cell phones, and a link between cancer and cell phone usage was considered possible, though several large studies have found no conclusive evidence. Experiments designed to test such a link gave negative results [7]. Most glioblastomas are infected with cytomegalovirus, which speeds the development of tumors [8-10]. Over the past few years, MRI has been evaluated as the gold standard for imaging CNS. It has immensely improved the detection rate due to its high resolution and precision in determining the margin of tumor and therefore enhancing the resection accuracy resulting in an improvement in the survival rate [4]. Regardless of the ongoing advances in modern imaging techniques and medical management the survival and prognosis of a patient diagnosed with glioma are very grim with poor prognosis [5].

Diffusion magnetic resonance imaging (dMRI) of the human brain, first described in 1985, has become an integral part of neuroimaging [6]. Apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) is a modality based on the diffusion properties of water molecules within tissues at anatomically three levels. The pattern of diffusion of water molecules is quite different from the Brownian motion pattern in brain parenchyma as the water molecules have to cross the blood-brain barrier and interact with tissue components such as cell membranes, cell fibers, and macromolecules [7]. Due to the heterogeneity of brain microarchitecture, normal and diseased areas of the brain have different ADCs. Tissues with higher rates of water molecules diffusivity, reduced cellularity, and compact mass have higher ADCs [8]. ADC, therefore, characterizes tissues quantitatively, and findings are validated in several studies [9,10]. A study has shown the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, and accuracy of diffusion-weighted imaging in differentiating high and low-grade gliomas as 89%, 100%, 100%, 86%, and 93% respectively [9]. Another study has shown the prevalence of high-grade gliomas as 31.58% and sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) of ADC values in differentiation between low- and high-grade gliomas as 77.6%, 80.3%, 78.5%, 89.5% and 62.4% respectively [10].

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is considered to be the imaging modality of choice to first assess CNS tumors such as gliomas. With the advancement of technology, many more physiological MRI techniques including MR spectroscopy, diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI), and perfusion-weighted imaging (PWI) are currently being used as important tools for staging and classification of gliomas [11]. The Apparent diffusion Coefficient (ADC) is an imaging modality with is a derivative of DWI that is pessimistically correlated with cell proliferation indices and shown to have increasing potential as a noninvasive imaging biomarker for preoperative tumor grading [12,13]. Various studies performed have scrutinized the role of DWI with quantitative ADC in the differentiation between high-grade glioma from low-grade glioma [14,15].

The rationale of this study was to determine the diagnostic accuracy of apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) in differentiating low- and high-grade gliomas, taking histopathology as the gold standard. If ADC diagnostic accuracy will be found high in differentiating low- and high-grade gliomas then this non-invasive modality can be applied routinely in our general practice for proper treatment selection to reduce the morbidity and mortality of these particular patients.

Methodology

The study was conducted at the Armed Forces Institute of Radiology and Imaging, Rawalpindi from 28th February 2022 to 27th August 2022. After approval from the institutional ethical review committee (IERB approval certificate no.0058). The sample size was calculated by using open epi calculator (https://www.openepi.com/SampleSize/SSPropor.htm) and having a 95% confidence level with an expected prevalence of high-grade glioma as 31.58% with 10% absolute precision for sensitivity and specificity of ADC value in differentiating high grade and low-grade glioma as 77.6% and 80.3% respectively [8]. 215 patients with a mean age of 41.27 ± 9.45 years (25-65 years) presenting to the Armed Forces Institute of Radiology And Imaging, Rawalpindi, fulfilling the inclusion criteria were selected. Informed consent was taken from each patient. Then diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging was performed on each patient by using a 1.5 Tesla MR system. The area of greatest diffusion restriction having a high DWI value and lowest ADC value within the solid tumor component was identified and surrounding peri-tumoral edema was not included. Areas of obviously restricted diffusion within each tumor which are defined as areas of bright signal intensity on b1000 images and appearing corresponding to a dark area on the ADC map were recognized. The first step in numeric ADC analysis was to study the T1, T2 and post-gadolinium sequences first to visualize and avoid areas likely to be cystic, hemorrhagic, calcific, necrotic, or peritumoral edema. The slice containing the lowest value of ADC was identified as a region of interest (ROI) and was selected with the help of the eclipse tool with an area of 0.16 cm² and using MRI in build software ADC value was calculated and recorded. Results of ADC were interpreted by a consultant radiologist (at least 5 years of post-fellowship experience) for high or low-grade glioma.
Diagnostic accuracy of apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) in differentiating low- and high-grade gliomas, taking histopathology as the gold standard

Inclusion criteria

1. All patients with focal brain lesions with radiological features of glioma
2. Size of lesion >5mm.
3. Age 25-65 years.
4. Both genders.

Exclusion criteria

1. Pregnant or lactating females as assessed on history.
2. Patients with a cardiac pacemaker.
3. Patients with renal failure (assessed on history and s/creatinine >1.5 mg/dl).
4. Purely cystic lesions, including abscesses.
5. Demyelinating lesions and malignant infiltrates.
6. Choroid plexus tumor, mesenchymal and non-meningothelial tumors of the sellar region, and pineal region tumor.
7. Patients with a known history of primary malignancy with suspicion of metastasis to the brain.

Collected data was analyzed through computer software SPSS 25.0. Mean and standard deviation was noted for the age and size of the lesion. Frequency and percentage were calculated for gender, site of lesion, and low or high-grade gliomas on ADC and histopathology. A 2×2 contingency table was used to calculate the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, and diagnostic accuracy of apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) in differentiating low- and high-grade gliomas, taking histopathology as the gold standard. Stratification was done for age, gender, site of lesion, and size of the lesion, and post-stratification diagnostic accuracy of apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) was calculated.

Results

The age range in this study was from 25-65 years with a mean age of 41.27 ± 9.45 years. The majority of the patients 125 (58.14%) were between 25 to 45 years of age as shown in Figure 1. Out of these 215 patients, 139 (64.65%) were male and 76 (35.35%) were females with a ratio of 1:1.8

Figure 1: WHO classification of grades of glioma according to histology and molecular features (a) simple histological classification (b) Algorithm based on 2021 WHO classification of brain tumors.

Table 1: Distribution of patients according to the site of the lesion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site of the lesion (cm)</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
<th>%age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supra-tentorial</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>72.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infra-tentorial</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>27.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Diagnostic accuracy of apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) in differentiating low- and high-grade gliomas, taking histopathology as the gold standard.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>The high grade in Histopathology</th>
<th>Low grade on Histopathology</th>
<th>p - value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High Grade on ADC</td>
<td>118 (TP)*</td>
<td>11 (FP)**</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low grade on ADC</td>
<td>08 (FN)**</td>
<td>78 (TN)***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*TP: True Positive; **FN: False negative; ***FP: False Positive; ****TN: True Negative; Sensitivity: 93.65%; Specificity: 87.64%; PPV: Positive Predictive Value 91.47%; NPV: Negative Predictive Value 90.70% and Diagnostic Accuracy: 91.16%
Tables 3, 4, Figure 2. predictive value (NPV) of 78.57% and diagnostic accuracy of 75.86%, positive predictive value (PPV) of 77.42%, negative histopathology with the sensitivity of 80.0%, specificity whereas 22 (True Negative) had high-grade glioma on histopathology whereas 56 (True Negative) had low-grade glioma on histopathology as the gold standard. Overall sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, and diagnostic accuracy of apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) in differentiating low- and high-grade gliomas, taking histopathology as the gold standard was 93.65%, 87.64%, 91.47%, 90.70% and 91.16% respectively Figures 3, 4. A study conducted by Rong Y in 2021 has shown sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, and accuracy of diffusion-weighted imaging in differentiating high and low-grade gliomas as 89%, 100%, 100%, 86% and 93% respectively [9]. Another study conducted by Abd EL-salam SM in 2019 has shown the prevalence of high grade gliomas 31.58% and sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) of ADC values in differentiation between low- and high-grade gliomas as 77.6%, 80.3%, 78.5%, 89.5% and 62.4% respectively [10].

Discussion

We have conducted this study to determine the diagnostic accuracy of Apparent Diffusion Coefficient (ADC) in differentiating low- and high-grade gliomas, targeting histopathology as the gold standard. Overall sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, and diagnostic accuracy of apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) in differentiating low- and high-grade gliomas. (True Negative) had no low-grade glioma on histopathology with the sensitivity of 100%, specificity 88.89%, positive predictive value (PPV) of 91.30%, negative predictive value (NPV) of 100% and diagnostic accuracy of 94.87%. Stratification of diagnostic accuracy concerning supra-tentorial (n = 156) showed that 94 were True Positive and 04 were False Positive, among the remaining 58 patients low-grade patients on ADC, 02 (False Negative) had high-grade glioma on histopathology whereas 56 (True Negative) had no low-grade glioma on histopathology with a sensitivity of 97.92%, specificity 93.33%, positive predictive value (PPV) of 95.92%, negative predictive value (NPV) of 96.55% and diagnostic accuracy of 96.15%. Stratification of Diagnostic accuracy concerning infra-tentorial (n = 59) showed that 24 were True Positive and 07 were False Positive, among the remaining 58 patients low-grade patients on ADC, 02 (False Negative) had high-grade glioma on histopathology whereas 56 (True Negative) had no low-grade glioma on histopathology with a sensitivity of 75.86%, positive predictive value (PPV) of 77.42%, negative predictive value (NPV) of 78.57% and diagnostic accuracy of 77.97% Tables 3, 4, Figure 2.

Table 3: Stratification of diagnostic accuracy concerning age 25-45 years (n = 125).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>The high grade in Histopathology</th>
<th>Low grade on Histopathology</th>
<th>P - value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High grade on ADC</td>
<td>67 (TP)</td>
<td>04 (FP)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low grade on ADC</td>
<td>03 (FN)</td>
<td>51 (TN)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity: 95.71%; Specificity: 92.73%; PPV: Positive Predictive Value 94.37%; NPV: Negative Predictive Value 94.44%; Diagnostic Accuracy: 94.40%.

Table 4: Stratification of diagnostic accuracy concerning age 46-65 years (n = 90).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>The high grade in Histopathology</th>
<th>Low grade on Histopathology</th>
<th>P - value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High grade on ADC</td>
<td>51 (TP)</td>
<td>07 (FP)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low grade on ADC</td>
<td>05 (FN)</td>
<td>27 (TN)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity: 91.07%; Specificity: 79.41%; PPV: Positive Predictive Value 87.93%; NPV: Negative Predictive Value 84.38%; Diagnostic Accuracy: 86.67%.

Figure 2: Distribution of patients according to (A) age and (B) Gender (n = 215).
In a meta-analysis which is published based on two kinds of MRI techniques such as diffusion-weighted imaging and diffusion tensor imaging for glioma classification, the total pooled overall accuracy (AUC) of 0.90, with a sensitivity of 85% and 80% [16]. In another meta-analysis which was performed on perfusion-weighted images for grading of glioma showed to have a sensitivity of 93%, and specificity performed on perfusion-weighted images for grading of 85% and 80% [16]. In another meta-analysis which was performed on perfusion-weighted images for grading of glioma showed to have a sensitivity of 93%, and specificity performed on perfusion-weighted images for grading of 85% and 80% [16].

Barbara, et al. [22] demonstrated that perfusion-weighted imaging (PWI) are also a useful tool for differentiating glioma, however, this is performed by injecting contrast medium with many other influencing factors which makes it difficult to generalize the method. In view of evidence found from a comprehensive meta-analysis, the diagnostic accuracy of DWI in grading glioma is considered to be less than MR spectroscopy, DSC and DCE MRI [18]. Advantage of perfusion-weighting imaging over other techniques of MR is being very easily accessible, no need of any contrast material, non-radioactive as well as comparatively cost-effective therefore is easy to ubiquitous exercise. Additionally, with the DWI and ADC techniques combined with other MR imaging such as PWI/DCE/MRS, the accuracy will be significantly improved [19].

Arvinda, et al. [20] have studied 51 patients (age range, 28–58 years; median age, 40 years) who were diagnosed with gliomas and undergo perfusion and diffusion MR imaging. They discovered that relative cerebral blood volume along with ADC and ADC ratio individually or in combination were helpful tools in preoperative glioma grading.

In addition, Kang, et al. [21] declared that histogram analysis based on ADC maps of the entire tumor volume is a useful tool for assessing glioma grade. However, other studies, such as Lam, et al. [22] concluded that there was no significant difference in ADC values between low- and high-grade gliomas. This discrepancy may be related to heterogeneous tumor structures in the glioma and the different methods used for measuring ADC values. The AGES may be used to analyze ADCs of the enhancing part of the tumor, the entire volume of the tumor, or the darkest region of the tumor on the ADC map in the reports, each of which provides different information about the heterogeneity and tissue characteristics of the tumors. Provenzale, et al. [23] considered whether the analyses of tumor ADC excluded areas of necrosis, which may have resulted in the conflicting findings.

Koral, et al. [24], studied 140 patients (58 medulloblastomas, 10 AT/RT, 51 astrocytomas, 21 ependymomas) and could differentiate astrocytoma from ependymoma with 78% sensitivity and 78% specificity using an ADC ratio ≥1.8 and could differentiate embryonal tumors from ependymoma with 87% sensitivity and 83% specificity using an ADC ratio ≤ 1.2. Also, Zitouni, et al. [25] differentiated astrocytomas from ependymoma with 85.7% sensitivity and 90% specificity using an ADC ratio ≥ 1.7 and differentiated medulloblastoma from ependymoma with 100% sensitivity and 88.89% specificity using an ADC ratio ≤ 1.18, which agreed with our results. Gimé et al. [26] studied 79 patients (31 JPA, 27 medulloblastomas, 14 ependymomas, 7 AT/RT) and measured the ADC ratios as 2.30, 1.58, 0.97, and 0.83 for astrocytoma, ependymoma, medulloblastoma, and AT/RT. They differentiated astrocytoma from ependymoma with 92% sensitivity and 79% specificity using an ADC ratio ≥ 1.7 and differentiated embryonal tumors (medulloblastoma and AT/RT) from ependymoma with 93% sensitivity and 88% specificity using an ADC ratio ≤ 1.2.

Fan, et al. [27] evaluated the utility of DWI in patients with non-enhancing supratentorial brain gliomas. They also found that ADC values calculated from the tumor core were helpful in differentiating and grading non-enhancing gliomas, but their subjects included patients having tumors with heterogeneous signal intensity and clear evidence of central necrosis on conventional MR imaging. Therefore, their subjects differed from those in our study. Studies by Rollin, et al. [28] and Lam, et al. [29] failed to find a significant difference between the ADC values of high- and low-grade gliomas, and some studies have shown that tumor minimum ADC values have preoperative prognostic importance in patients with malignant supratentorial astrocytomas [30,31]. In addition, Barker, et al. [32] and Scott, et al. [33] demonstrated that the risk of anaplasia in non-enhancing cerebral tumors increases with age, whereas we did not find any difference between the ages of the patients with high- and low-grade astrocytomas.

**Conclusion**

This study concluded that apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) is the non-invasive modality of choice with high diagnostic accuracy in differentiating low- and high-grade gliomas, and has not only dramatically improved our ability to differentiate low- and high-grade gliomas pre-operatively but also helps the surgeons for proper decision making. So, we recommend that apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) should be done routinely in all cases of cerebral gliomas for accurate assessment pre-operatively and opting proper surgical approach and reducing pure diagnostic biopsies in cerebral gliomas which ultimately reduce the morbidity and mortality of these patients.
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